

Parma Town Board meeting held on Tuesday, December 1, 2009 at the
Parma Town Hall, 1300 Hilton Parma Corners Road, Hilton, New York.

ATTENDANCE

Supervisor	Richard Lemcke
Councilman	Carm Carmestro
Councilman	Gary Comardo
Councilman	James Roose
Highway Supt.	Brian Speer
Recreation Director	Steve Fowler
Building Inspector	Jack Barton
Absent	Councilman James Smith

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Gene DeMeyer, Eleanor Witty, Pete McCann, Matt Flagg, Don Green, Helen Ives, Marilyn DeMeyer, Richard DeMeyer, Jim Zollweg, Mike Weldon, Tom Bertrand, Kenneth Blackburn, Steve Fowler, Pat Buskey, Scott Copey, Mike Wyant, Roger Odell, Susie Odell, Paul Schnell, Anne Schnell, Tod Edenhofer, and other unidentified members of the public.

CALL TO ORDER

Supervisor Lemcke called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. and lead those present in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag, followed by a moment of silence. Supervisor Lemcke noted emergency exit procedures. It was noted that the meeting is being digitally recorded.

PUBLIC FORUM

CONSERVATION EASEMENT – MARTIN FARMS

Supervisor Lemcke opened the public hearing at 6:32 p.m. and read the following legal notice.

**Legal Notice
Town of Parma
Public Hearing
Purchase of Conservation Easement for the Martin Farm
396 Burritt Road Tax ID# 264089 032.03-2-8**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Town Board of the Town of Parma shall hold and conduct a public hearing to consider the purchase of a conservation easement for the Martin Farm located at 396 Burritt Road. The public hearing will be held at the Parma Town Hall, 1300 Hilton Parma Corners Road on the 1st day of December, 2009 at 6:30 p.m. and all interested persons shall be heard concerning the subject matter thereof.

Dates: November 18, 2009
Donna K. Curry, Town Clerk
Town of Parma

Scott Copey, Chairman for the Farmland and Open Space Committee, was asked to explain what would need to be done to complete the purchase of this conservation easement. He indicated that the Town Board would need to pass a resolution authorizing the Supervisor to enter into the sale contract as this is considered a real estate transaction for the interest in the land versus a fee for the simple ownership of the land. He noted it is subject to permissive referendum and is a Type I action under SEQR, the survey of the property is underway and Gay Mills from the Genesee Land Trust is arranging the environmental assessment.

Kenneth Blackburn asked what the total amount of dollars this would cost. Supervisor Lemcke responded \$250,000; with \$125,000 from the Town of Parma and \$125,000 from Monroe County.

Robert Prince asked if there would be documentation on the property maps or in some other form that would indicate a particular parcel had a conservation easement. His concern was that anyone, in particular a developer, looking at maps for these properties should be able to easily identify that there is a development restriction. Mr. Copey responded that there would be a deed restriction recorded. In addition, the Genesee Land Trust as part of their stewardship role would perform an annual evaluation of the property to ensure the use is being maintained. A report would be given to the Town Board. It did not appear there would be new tax maps. There was further discussion on ways to easily identify these parcels.

Donald Green, a member of the Farmland and Open space Committee, referred to property owned by the Town at the lake and suggested that similar references used to designate that property be applied here. There was discussion on having this shown on a Town map.

Matt Flagg asked what the acreage was and what the benefit was to the Town in purchasing. Scott Copey responded that there was 114 acres and reviewed the work the Farmland and Open Space Committee has done as the master planning committee to make this recommendation. Supervisor Lemcke noted the agreement is laid out so that a house and barn could be built on the property should the Martin's sell the land in the future but otherwise could only be farmed.

Don Green expressed that this purchase is the best case scenario and hoped it was the first of many properties that would be saved for farmland. He noted that the Town will not always be involved but in this particular case the Town is involved. Supervisor Lemcke noted the Trust for Public Land, Genesee Land Trust and New York State could also purchase development rights. The recent purchase of property on Bennett Road by the Department of Environmental Conservation was cited as an example.

John Chart referenced the Town's Master Plan as stating the farmland to the south and west of the Village is already zoned to prevent development. He felt because this property is zoned low density agriculture which would require two and a half acre parcels it would be very costly to develop. Because of this, he felt that the Town already has on record what is needed to save this piece of land and many others as well. He expressed that the Town Board should carefully consider the views of all of the residents, that this is an awful lot of money and that there had been no provision made for this purchase in the budget. Supervisor Lemcke acknowledged this was not budgeted.

Kenneth Blackburn asked what criteria were used to pick this property. Supervisor Lemcke responded that the committee ranked possible properties. This parcel had a willing landowner which is key to this type of purchase. The Martin family was interested and sought out the Town. Discussion continued on why this parcel was chosen and not others and the cost per acre. Scott Copey noted that the appraisal for the development rights was \$3000 per acre and for the conservation easement \$2,000 per acre. In addition there would be closing costs and the stewardship fees. There were parcels that ranked higher and lower than the Martin Farm. Higher ranked parcels had been approached but were not interested at this time. He noted the opportunity to secure funding was available and the willingness of the property owner as key factors.

Marilyn DeMeyer, a member of the Farmland and Open Space Committee, provided background on farmland preservation. She stated that the federal and state government realizes that food security is a significant issue and we have some of the most fertile land in the world. She stressed the importance of preservation and that upstate and Monroe County in particular is behind in getting grant dollars for this kind of preservation. She felt landowner interest, availability of funding through the Monroe County Green Space Initiative and that time is of the essence in this type of preservation. The Farmland on Open Space Survey which was sent out to residents of the Town and resulted in 94% of the 29% that responded being in favor of preserving open space. She also noted that the current zoning, which would allow 2.5 acre lots, has not stopped sprawl. It has actually contributed to the sprawl by eating up the farmland.

Mr. Chart commented that his issue was spending \$250,000 of taxpayer dollars. There was discussion on the funding being all taxpayer dollars in some way and getting our share for this purpose.

Roger O'Dell expressed that he was in favor of his tax dollars being spent on this use and supports the preservation of open space and farmland.

Paul Schnell applauded the efforts and appreciates all that is being done to preserve open space and farmland. He owns 66 acres on Bennett Road. It is farmed and will be kept as green space. He felt that by purchasing the conservation easement now it will be the cheapest you will ever get it.

Matt Flagg stated that he was not anti open space but feels the circumstances are different today than three years ago. He felt it would be harder for a property owner to sell the property today as there are no developers currently because of the economy.

Supervisor Lemcke responded that this is an excellent opportunity and that there has been prior interest in developing this parcel. The alternative would be that about 48 homes could be built. He reiterated that the Town would be buying the development rights and that the current owners will be giving the land to the third generation to farm because it is their intent that the land be continued to be farmed.

Pat Buskey, also a member of the Farmland and Open Space Committee, felt this was the best time to purchase; when the market is down and the development rights are less.

Supervisor Lemcke noted that he and Marilyn DeMeyer had met with Perinton regarding their plan for term easements. This is where the property owner agrees not to sell the development rights or develop the property for a specified period of time. He went on to explain this in more detail.

Kenneth Blackburn questioned why this location. He felt there must be future growth and asked where you want it to take place. He felt there had to be other farmland in the Town that would be better suited and not prevent development.

Marilyn DeMeyer responded that the Martin's were the most interested party at the time, this money was available and they had already approached the Genesee Land Trust before the Farmland and Open Space Committee was created.

Scott Copey added that the funding was tailored to this project because the family would still farm the land and we had a finite amount of dollars that fit this opportunity. In some cases other types of acquisition are more suited to state funding. Mr. Copey also noted this should be looked at as the timing of a long term project and hoped that the Town would be engaged for a long time to preserve the rural nature of the Town.

Mr. Blackburn stated that zoning and smart planning could accomplish these same goals and this is a prime piece of land.

Mrs. DeMeyer wished to respond to the question of why preserve this parcel. She felt that it was a start that had to be made. She stated that farmland should be looked at as a commercial use and that when it is farmed you do not have all the other expenses associated with development such as school, police and fire protection. She felt it does save the town money and is not a negative thing. Mr. Blackburn did not disagree but felt that a long term look at the needs of the community were not reflective of this particular parcel for this use.

There was discussion on growth as a means to keep taxes low, where the future growth should occur, and once development is saturated the only way to maintain services is to increase taxes. Mr. Green felt this was a prime location to be preserved because there has to be a place where you start.

Mr. Buskey felt that you could not just buy the right to the top ten ranked properties. It is easy to identify the properties but you have to have willing landowners.

Mr. Blackburn stated that this is a piece of land that costs them more money to farm rather than other property they own closer to their operation. He reiterated his opinion that this was a bad location to invest that kind of money. Supervisor Lemcke reiterated that without the landowner's willingness this would not have happened. Mr. Copey noted that the grant opportunity was present as well and because this year there was no round of funding the current grant would not have been possible.

Mrs. Woody stated that she contacted the Town but that no one contacted her back. She felt her land has far more value and wants it to continue to be farmed more than the money she might receive. Mr. Copey apologized and noted that lack of funding was a factor. Supervisor Lemcke thought that her property was worth far more than the Martin Farm and unfortunately there was no funding for purchase of development rights.

Mrs. Woody asked when the Martin's would receive the money. Supervisor Lemcke responded when they close on the property.

The Town Board proceeded to review the Environmental Assessment Form. Supervisor Lemcke noted the Town Board had the opportunity to review and asked if they had any questions regarding the Full Environmental Assessment form. There were none.

**RESOLUTION ACCEPTING PART I OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL
ASSESSMENT FORM FOR THE PROPOSED PURCHASE OF A
CONSERVATION EASEMENT - MARTIN FARM**

RESOLUTION NO. 253-2009 Motion by Councilman Carmestro, seconded by Councilman Roose, that the Parma Town Board as lead agency for this Type I action reviewed Part I of the Full Environmental Assessment form submitted and no other involved agencies were identified; accept Part I of the Full Environmental Assessment form submitted for the proposed purchase of a conservation easement for the Martin Farm located at 396 Burrirt Road, tax account number 32.03-2-8.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

Mr. Blackburn inquired what the criterion was for a permissive referendum. He was aware of thirty days to respond and wanted to know what the criterion was for the number of signatures for a petition. There was further discussion on what might be required. Specifics will be looked into by the Town Clerk.

**RESOLUTION ISSUING THE NEGATIVE DETERMINATION OF
ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR PROPOSED PURCHASE OF
CONSERVATION EASEMENT - MARTIN FARM**

RESOLUTION NO. 254-2009 Motion by Councilman Comardo, seconded by Councilman Carmestro,

WHEREAS:

1. The Parma Town Board (hereinafter referred to as Town Board) has reviewed Part II of the Environmental Assessment Form for the purchase of a conservation easement for the Martin Farm located at 396 Burrirt Road, tax account number 032.03-2-8 by the Town of Parma;
2. The Town Board has considered the Environmental Assessment Form and the proposed Negative Determination of Environmental Significance.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The Town Board declares that the actions to purchase a conservation easement for the Martin Farm located at 396 Burritt Road, tax account number 032.03-2-8 will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment.
2. The Supervisor is directed to sign page 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form to indicate that a Negative Determination of Environmental Significance has been issued.
3. The Town Clerk is directed to file a copy of the Negative Determination of Environmental Significance and Attachment thereto in the Town Office and with the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation.

Supervisor Lemcke	Aye
Councilman Carmestro	Aye
Councilman Smith	Absent
Councilman Comardo	Aye
Councilman Roose	Aye

Motion carried.

See Schedule A at the end of the minutes for the Full Environmental Assessment Form.

Supervisor Lemcke noted that Genesee Land Trust will be the facilitator in the closing of this purchase and will share with the Town of Parma the stewardship responsibilities of the conservation easement.

Mr. Chart asked how the budget process can be bypassed as listed in the Town Law Manual. Supervisor Lemcke responded that the funds had been approved to come from B Surplus Fund. Mr. Chart disagreed and felt his question had not been answered. Supervisor Lemcke reiterated that the purchase had been determined as coming from B Surplus and that his comment would be noted and that the Town Board would be taking a vote on whether to proceed.

Supervisor Lemcke noted the survey has been started, a phase one environmental study will be completed and Gail Mills is working with the Attorney for the Town for the title search and other issues.

**AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENT FOR THE PURCHASE
OF CONSERVATION AGREEMENT – MARTIN FARM**

RESOLUTION NO. 255-2009 Motion by Councilman Carmestro, seconded by Councilman Roose, to authorize the Town to enter into a sale agreement for the purchase of the conservation easement rights for the Martin Farm located at 396 Burritt Road, tax account number 032.03-2-8 for a total not to exceed \$250,000 by the Town of Parma; with the Attorney for the Town and Genesee Land Trust to complete the steps for this transaction and the Town of Parma and Genesee Land Trust sharing stewardship of the conservation easement.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

**AMEND PARMA TOWN CODE – FIRE PREVENTION
ABOVE GROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS**

It was noted that there were still too many undetermined issues to be able to properly hold a public hearing on this topic. Mr. Prince would like to get a draft out to the Fire Departments and to the Attorney for the Town for review. A public hearing will be re-advertised when the wording has been finalized to the point that it can be used as the wording of a local law. The attorney was consulted by the Town Clerk for the procedure to be used to change this section of the Town Code. It was explained that the existing fire prevention code was passed as an ordinance and will need to be repealed. The new wording should be completed in a final format acceptable for local law enactment.

Mr. Prince summarized that the Town Code currently does not allow above ground fuel storage tanks. The Town Board and the Building Department have been working on a revision to the Town Code that would allow above ground fuel storage tanks with limitations and would have to be in compliance with DEC, NYS Fire Code and the National Fire Protection Association regulations.

There was extensive discussion on the draft. Topics touched upon included the number of years existing tanks would have to come into compliance, the requirements of the DEC, issuance of an operating permit and annual inspection required by state law and the possibility of a fee associated for the annual inspection, the size of the tank, what type of zoning this would be allowed in, how to handle existing tank and whether an area variance and or set back requirements would be a means to address. It was noted that most of the Towns Mr. Prince spoke to regulate this through their fire code.

Supervisor Lemcke asked that information be obtained from other Towns on how they handle this for general commercial, golf courses and construction sites. Mr. Prince also noted that the Attorney for the Town should be consulted regarding the SEQR process and the legalities of adopting this.

The question was raised whether this could be addressed as a special permitted use. Mr. Barton felt this might be an option but would raise the need for other guidelines. Mr. Prince was asked to provide this draft to the Attorney for the Town so they are aware up what has been done up to this point. Mr. Barton noted Monroe County Department of Planning also would like to review once we get to a closer draft. The Fire Districts should comment first and Monroe County Department of Planning will send off to Public Safety. This is not typical and will provide input from other professionals.

There was discussion on there being multiple standards and whether the zoning would be relevant and keeping the regulation controllable at the local level. It was determined that another draft will be needed. It was also noted that it must be town law ready before we proceed.

For the record the Town Board acknowledges that this was a public informational hearing and when all the necessary paperwork is ready the Town will re-advertise as a public hearing.

PUBLIC FORUM

Supervisor Lemcke asked if there was any citizen present who would like to address the Town Board on any topic not on the agenda. There was no response.

MINUTES – NOVEMBER 17, 2009

RESOLUTION NO. 256-2009 Motion by Councilman Carmestro, seconded by Councilman Roose, to accept the Minutes of the November 17, 2009 meeting.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

MINUTES – OCTOBER 13, 2009 – JOINT MEETING WITH THE VILLAGE OF HILTON

RESOLUTION NO. 257-2009 Motion by Councilman Carmestro, seconded by Councilman Comardo, to accept the Minutes of the October 13, 2009 joint meeting with the Village of Hilton.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

TOWN CLERK REPORT

A request has been received from a Parma resident for use of a meeting room at the Town Hall for January 6th or 13th to hold an informational meeting for the Irish Children's program. There was no objection from the Board.

Board/Committee Reappointments - The Clerk requested approval to send letters to members of Boards and Committees whose appointments will expire on December 31, 2009 verifying they wish to continue and remind them of the oath that will be needed if reappointed.

Credit Card Provider – The Clerk met with Nationwide Payment Solutions to discuss specifics of their credit card program. Key points discussed were: convenience fee would be 2.45%, there will be no expense to the Town, and Visa can only be used for tax payments not day to day transactions (per Visa's policy). We would have the option to collect tax payments at the counter using credit/debit cards for a flat fee, which is currently \$3.95. Property owners can pay using credit/debit or an e-check online through the Monroe county website with a convenience fee of 3% added to the transaction. The Business Automated Solutions (BAS) option for this service was also researched. Their fee would be significantly higher at 2.75% plus a flat transaction fee. The Nationwide Payment Solutions contract has been sent to the attorney for review. The Clerk hopes to have their response so that this can be submitted for approval at the next meeting.

SET TIME FOR YEAR END BILL PAYING SESSION - DECEMBER 31, 2009

RESOLUTION NO. 258-2009 Motion by Councilman Carmestro, seconded by Councilman Comardo, to set the time for the bill paying session on December 31, 2009 for 9:00 a.m.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

SET DATE FOR 2010 ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING

RESOLUTION NO. 259-2009 Motion by Councilman Carmestro, seconded by Councilman Roose, to set the Organization Meeting for January 5, 2010 at 6:30 p.m. at the Parma Town Hall.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT REPORT

Supt. Speer reviewed key points of the Amendatory Agreement for the 2009-2010 New York State Snow and Ice Agreement and the All Seasons Amendatory Agreement. The latter will not require a resolution.

AMENDMENT TO ACCEPT THE CHANGES TO THE ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE FOR THE 2009 – 2010 NEW YORK STATE SNOW AND ICE CONTRACT

RESOLUTION NO. 260-2009 Motion by Councilman Comardo, seconded by Councilman Roose, to accept the Amendment to Change the Estimated Expenditure for Snow and Ice Agreement with New York State for the Indexed Lump Sum Contract and authorize the Town Supervisor to sign this agreement

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

AUTHORIZATION FOR THE PURCHASE OF HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT STAINLESS STEEL V-BOTTOM SALTER INSERT

Legal notice was published and bids were received for the purchase of a Stainless Steel V-

Bottom Salter Insert. On November 30, 2009, the following bids were opened at the Parma Town Hall.

Thruway Spring	\$10,790.00
STS Trailer and Truck Equipment	\$ 9,999.00

Supt. Speer recommended the bid from STS Trailer and Truck Equipment and for the funding to come from the DA Fund.

See Schedule B at end of minutes for copies of the bids received.

RESOLUTION NO. 261-2009 Motion by Councilman Comardo, seconded by Councilman Roose, to authorize the purchase of a Stainless Steel V-Bottom Salter Insert in the amount of \$9,999.00 from STS Trailer and Truck with funds to come from the DA Fund Surplus.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

Collamer and Hill Road Bridge Projects – Fisher Associates and Barton & LoGuidice have been selected as the engineers for the Collamer and Hill Road bridge work projects. Supt. Speer expects to have the agreements at the next meeting.

BUILDING DEPARTMENT REPORT

Mr. Barton reported that the monthly Building Department report has been submitted.

RECREATION DEPARTMENT REPORT

The Recreation Department has been meeting with Mary Eichas Gavigan who was the architect for “The Community Wide Garage Sale” this past year. The Recreation Department will be continuing this program for 2010. They will advertise the event in their winter and spring brochures and they have another planning session with Mrs. Gavigan this week.

The Department is working with Hilton High School senior Nate DeMartino, who is trying to organize a fund raising 5k race at the Parma Town Hall Cross Country Course. This is a special event project designed for his English Class. More specifics are expected before the end of the year.

The “18th Annual Christmas Tree Lighting” will be held Saturday, December 5, 2009 at the Village Community Center. A flyer has been distributed which states all of the particulars of this event. Sunday afternoon, December 6, 2009, the portable stage is being loaned to Spencerport for their “Christmas on the Canal” event.

Kenneth Blackburn inquired about the input requested for the Greece Canal Park and asked if ice skating and cross country venues would not be better suited to that location. There was additional discussion on other items suggested for the park including an entrance off Manitou Road at Ogden Parma Town Line Road which would not be a through fare.

PARKS DEPARTMENT

There was no report.

BUSINESS ITEMS

FARMLAND GRANT MONEY

RESOLUTION NO. 262-2009 Motion by Supervisor Lemcke, seconded by Councilman Comardo, to transfer from B Fund Surplus to Conservation line 3910 in the B Fund, \$250,000 for the purchase of a conservation easement for the Martin Farm property located at 396 Burritt Road, tax account number 032.03-2-8.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

MASTER FEDERAL AID/MARCHISELLI AID PROJECT

The executed Master Federal Aid/Marchiselli Aid Project Agreement approved by the State Comptroller for the Collamer Road Bridge over West Creek, Pin 4754.88 Phase: Design and RoW Incidentals project as been received. The total cost of the project will be \$239,000 with federal grant funding of \$191,200 leaving a total of \$47,800 as the cost to the Town.

RESOLUTION NO. 263-2009 Motion by Supervisor Lemcke, seconded by Councilman Comardo, to authorize transfer of \$239,000 from B Fund Surplus, of which \$191,200 will be reimbursed by the Master Federal/ Marchiselli Aid for the Collamer Road Bridge over West Creek Project.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

ADDITION TO TOWN OF PARMA CODE CHANGES - STUART BROWN ASSOCIATES

RESOLUTION NO. 264-2009 Motion by Councilman Carmestro, seconded by Councilman Roose; increase the amount to be charged for services provided by Stuart Brown Associates for Town Code changes related to the Waterfront Residential an additional \$380 bringing the total to \$3,840.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

BUDGET TRANSFERS

TRANSFERS TO BUDGET

DEC

ACCT #	FROM DESCRIPTION	AMT.	ACCT #	TO DESCRIPTION	AMT.	REQUESTED
AOO535351041000	OFFICE SUPPLIES	250.00	AOO535351045200	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE	1,310.00	FRITZ
AOO535351043000	EDUCATIONAL	100.00				
AOO535351049000	DOG CENSUS	460.00				
BOO530341043000	EDUCATIONAL	540.68				
AOO535351045200	CONTRACTED SERVICE	500.00				
AOO570731049502	SIGN LANGUAGE	325.00	AOO570731049442	BABYSITTING TRAINING	325.00	FOWLER
LOO574741042200	EQUIPMENT REPAIR	4,500.00	LOO574741041000	OFFICE SUPPLIES	4,000.00	KIRK
			LOO574741049200	VISITING ARTIST	500.00	KIRK
LOO574741014000	LIBRARY ASSISTANT	19,180.09	LOO574741015000	PAGES	6,639.26	KIRK
			LOO574741016000	CLERKS	12,540.83	KIRK
	L FUND FUND BALANCE	3,000.00	LOO574741046000	CONTRACTED SERVICE	3,000.00	KIRK
AOO536364043100	BOOKS	12.00	AOO536364041000	OFFICE SUPPLIES	12.00	EDENHOFER
AOO536364046000	CONTRACTED SERVICE	1,100.00	AOO536364021100	FIELD EQUIPMENT	1,100.00	EDENHOFER

RESOLUTION NO. 265-2009 Motion by Councilman Comardo, seconded by Councilman Roose, to approve the Budget Transfers as submitted.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

TOWN DENTAL PLAN COVERAGE

There was discussion to clarify the amount that is contributed towards the dental coverage for new hires. Coverage was defined for health insurance by (*Clerk notation see Resolution 225-2008*) but dental coverage was not specifically specified. The employee manual specifies that these benefits are determined by resolution. It was the original intent of the Town Board to cover both of these benefits at fifty percent.

RESOLUTION NO. 266-2009 Motion by Councilman Comardo, seconded by Councilman Roose, to amend Resolution 225-2008 to provide health and dental coverage for new hires at 50% of the cost the Town pays for Single or Family plan coverage.

Motion carried: Aye 4 Nay 0 Absent Councilman Smith

INTERFUND TRANSFER – DRAINAGE

This item was moved to next meeting.

MISCELLANEOUS

Eagle Scout Projects – to be discussed further at the December 15, 2009 meeting.

Proposed Cross Country Skiing – Supervisor Lemcke reported that trails in Monroe County Parks are groomed with equipment that attaches to gator types of equipment and could be considered instead of a snowmobile purchase. There was further discussion and information on the County equipment will be provided for discussion at the next meeting.

LIAISON REPORTS

**Councilman Comardo reported he was unable to attend the last Recreation Commission meeting due to a conflict.

New members of the Special Police have completed the Peace Office course and he attended their graduation ceremony. The majority of the Special Police meeting was a training session for the proper filling out of paperwork. Previously the question was raised on the number of tickets issued at Apple Fest. It was noted that the Special Police did not issue any tickets during Apple Fest. Tickets issued may have been done by Monroe County Sheriffs.

**Councilman Roose has met with Mr. Fritz. A representative from the NYS Department of Agricultural and Markets will be coming for the year-end inspection. Mr. Fritz will provide the year end report. There was further discussion on having numbers of dogs to equate to cost.

Councilman Roose also attended Planning and Zoning Board meetings. There was nothing controversial to report.

**Councilman Carmestro reported everything is working well in the Parks Department. He is working on the contracts for the sports venues for next year. The Fire Department meets tomorrow night. The Village Board was meeting tonight. Discussion included beefing up security at Family Dollar because of the recent fires; wood boilers with in the Village limits; review of their Master Plan and a donation from an estate for historical purposes and to the Library.

There being no further business brought before the Town Board, Councilman Carmestro made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:35 p.m., seconded by Councilman Comardo and all were in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Donna K. Curry
Parma Town Clerk

SCHEDULE A

**617.20
 Appendix A
 State Environmental Quality Review
 FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM**

Purpose: The full EAF is designed to help applicants and agencies determine, in an orderly manner, whether a project or action may be significant. The question of whether an action may be significant is not always easy to answer. Frequently, there are aspects of a project that are subjective or unmeasurable. It is also understood that those who determine significance may have little or no formal knowledge of the environment or may not be technically expert in environmental analysis. In addition, many who have knowledge in one particular area may not be aware of the broader concerns affecting the question of significance.

The full EAF is intended to provide a method whereby applicants and agencies can be assured that the determination process has been orderly, comprehensive in nature, yet flexible enough to allow introduction of information to fit a project or action.

Full EAF Components: The full EAF is comprised of three parts:

- Part 1:** Provides objective data and information about a given project and its site. By identifying basic project data, it assists a reviewer in the analysis that takes place in Parts 2 and 3.
- Part 2:** Focuses on identifying the range of possible impacts that may occur from a project or action. It provides guidance as to whether an impact is likely to be considered small to moderate or whether it is a potentially-large impact. The form also identifies whether an impact can be mitigated or reduced.
- Part 3:** If any impact in Part 2 is identified as potentially-large, then Part 3 is used to evaluate whether or not the impact is actually important.

THIS AREA FOR LEAD AGENCY USE ONLY

DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE -- Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

Identify the Portions of EAF completed for this project: Part 1 Part 2 Part 3
 Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF (Parts 1 and 2 and 3 if appropriate), and any other supporting information, and considering both the magnitude and importance of each impact, it is reasonably determined by the lead agency that:

- A. The project will not result in any large and important impact(s) and, therefore, is one which will not have a significant impact on the environment, therefore a **negative declaration will be prepared.**
- B. Although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect for this Unlisted Action because the mitigation measures described in PART 3 have been required, therefore a **CONDITIONED negative declaration will be prepared.**
- C. The project may result in one or more large and important impacts that may have a significant impact on the environment, therefore a **positive declaration will be prepared.**

*A Conditioned Negative Declaration is only valid for Unlisted Actions

Purchase of Development Rights for 114 acre Martin Farm

Name of Action: Parma Town Board
 Name of Lead Agency: Richard Lemcke Town Supervisor
 Title of Responsible Officer: Richard A. Lemcke
 Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Richard A. Lemcke
 Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: [Signature]
 Signature of Preparer (if different from responsible officer): _____

01/3/09 1147209
 Date

**PART 1--PROJECT INFORMATION
 Prepared by Project Sponsor**

NOTICE: This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire form, Parts A through E. Answers to these questions will be considered as part of the application for approval and may be subject to further verification and public review. Provide any additional information you believe will be needed to complete Parts 2 and 3.

It is expected that completion of the full EAF will be dependent on information currently available and will not involve new studies, research or investigation. If information requiring such additional work is unavailable, so indicate and specify each instance.

Name of Action: Purchase of Development Rights for 114 acre Martin Farm
 Location of Action (include Street Address, Municipality and County): 396 Burrill Road
 Name of Applicant/Sponsor: Parma Town Board
 Address: 1300 Hilton Parma Corners Road
 City/PO Box: 728 - Hilton State NY Zip Code 14468
 Business Telephone: (585) 392-9461
 Name of Owner (if different): David Martin
 Address: 4021 Redman Road
 City/PO Box: Brookport State NY Zip Code 14420
 Business Telephone: _____

Description of Action:
The Town intends to Purchase the Development Rights on the 114 acre Martin Farm parcel located at 396 Burrill Road such that the property will be preserved for agricultural use in perpetuity. The town received \$129,950.00 through Monroe County's third round of the GreenSpace Initiative Grant Program and will match this amount to purchase development rights via a permanent conservation easement. The conservative easement will extinguish the right to develop the property or otherwise alter it in any way that would restrict the agricultural use.

Please Complete Each Question--Indicate N.A. if not applicable

A. SITE DESCRIPTION

Physical setting of overall project, both developed and undeveloped areas.

1. Present Land Use: Urban Industrial Commercial Residential (suburban) Rural (non-farm) Forest Agriculture Other _____
2. Total acreage of project area: 114 acres.

APPROXIMATE ACREAGE	PRESENTLY	AFTER COMPLETION
Meadow or Brushland (Non-agricultural)	_____ acres	_____ acres
Forested	<u>21</u> acres	<u>21</u> acres
Agricultural (includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.)	<u>93</u> acres	<u>93</u> acres
Wetland (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24.25 of ECL)	<u>6.5</u> acres	<u>6.5</u> acres
Water Surface Area	_____ acres	_____ acres
Unvegetated (Rock, earth or fill)	_____ acres	_____ acres
Roads, buildings and other paved surfaces	_____ acres	_____ acres
Other (indicate type) _____	_____ acres	_____ acres
3. What is predominant soil type(s) on project site?
 a. Soil drainage: Well drained _____% of site Moderately well drained 75% of site. Poorly drained _____% of site
 b. If any agricultural land is involved, how many acres of soil are classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System? 110 acres (see 1 NYCRR 370).
4. Are there bedrock outcroppings on project site? Yes No
 a. What is depth to bedrock 7222 (in feet)
5. Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes:
 0-10% _____% 10-15% _____% 15% or greater _____%
6. Is project substantially contiguous to, or contain a building, site, or district, listed on the State or National Registers of Historic Places? Yes No
7. Is project substantially contiguous to a site listed on the Register of National Natural Landmarks? Yes No
8. What is the depth of the water table? 7222 (in feet)
9. Is site located over a primary, principal, or sole source aquifer? Yes No
10. Do hunting, fishing or shell fishing opportunities presently exist in the project area? Yes No

11. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or endangered? Yes No

According to: New York Natural Heritage database - online Environmental Resource Mapper
 Identify each species: Lake Club Sucker - Buttswood Creek

12. Are there any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, other geological formations?) Yes No

Describe: _____

13. Is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area? Yes No

If yes, explain: _____

14. Does the present site include scenic views known to be important to the community? Yes No

15. Streams within or contiguous to project area:

a. Name of Stream and name of River to which it is tributary
Buttswood Creek tributaries

16. Lakes, ponds, wetland areas within or contiguous to project area:

State and federal regulated wetlands: _____

- b. Size (in acres):

Approximately 6.5 acres

17. Is the site served by existing public utilities? Yes No
 a. If YES, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection? Yes No
 b. If YES, will improvements be necessary to allow connection? Yes No

18. Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? Yes No

19. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 6 of the ECL and 6 NYCRR 6177? Yes No

20. Has the site ever been used for the disposal of solid or hazardous wastes? Yes No

B. Project Description

1. Physical dimensions and scale of project (fill in dimensions as appropriate).
 a. Total contiguous acreage owned or controlled by project sponsor: 114 acres.
 b. Project acreage to be developed: 0 acres initially; 2 acres ultimately.
 c. Project acreage to remain undeveloped: 112 acres.
 d. Length of project, in miles: n/a (if appropriate)
 e. If the project is an expansion, indicate percent of expansion proposed: n/a %
 f. Number of off-street parking spaces existing n/a; proposed _____
 g. Maximum vehicular trips generated per hour: n/a (upon completion of project)?
 h. If residential: Number and type of housing units:

	One Family	Two Family	Multiple Family	Condominium
Initially	<u>0</u>	_____	_____	_____
Ultimately	<u>1</u>	_____	_____	_____
- i. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: _____ height; _____ width; _____ length.
 j. Linear feet of frontage along a public thoroughfare project will occupy is? -4500 ft.
2. How much natural material (i.e. rock, earth, etc.) will be removed from the site? 0 tons/cubic yards.
3. Will disturbed areas be reclaimed? Yes No N/A
 a. If yes, for what intended purpose is the site being reclaimed?

 b. Will topsoil be stockpiled for reclamation? Yes No
 c. Will upper subsoil be stockpiled for reclamation? Yes No
4. How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site? 0 acres.

5. Will any mature forest (over 100 years old) or other locally-important vegetation be removed by this project? Yes No

6. If single phase project: Anticipated period of construction: n/a months, (including demolition)

7. If multi-phased:
 a. Total number of phases anticipated n/a (number)
 b. Anticipated date of commencement phase 1: n/a month _____ year, (including demolition)
 c. Approximate completion date of final phase: n/a month _____ year.
 d. Is phase 1 functionally dependent on subsequent phases? Yes No

8. Will blasting occur during construction? Yes No

9. Number of jobs generated: during construction 0; after project is complete _____

10. Number of jobs eliminated by this project 0

11. Will project require relocation of any projects or facilities? Yes No

If yes, explain: _____

12. Is surface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes No

a. If yes, indicate type of waste (sewage, industrial, etc) and amount _____
 b. Name of water body into which effluent will be discharged _____

13. Is subsurface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes No Type _____

14. Will surface area of an existing water body increase or decrease by proposal? Yes No

If yes, explain: _____

15. Is project or any portion of project located in a 100 year flood plain? Yes No

16. Will the project generate solid waste? Yes No

a. If yes, what is the amount per month? n/a tons
 b. If yes, will an existing solid waste facility be used? Yes No
 c. If yes, give name _____; location _____
 d. Will any wastes not go into a sewage disposal system or into a sanitary landfill? Yes No

e. If yes, explain:

17. Will the project involve the disposal of solid waste? Yes No
 a. If yes, what is the anticipated rate of disposal? _____ tons/month.
 b. If yes, what is the anticipated site life? _____ years.

18. Will project use herbicides or pesticides? Yes No

19. Will project routinely produce odors (more than one hour per day)? Yes No

20. Will project produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels? Yes No

21. Will project result in an increase in energy use? Yes No
 If yes, indicate type(s)

22. If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity _____ gpm/gallons/minute.

23. Total anticipated water usage per day _____ gpd/gallons/day.

24. Does project involve Local, State or Federal funding? Yes No
 If yes, explain:

\$129,950 in grant funds received from Monroe County (federal tobacco settlement money) to be matched by \$129,950 in town funds.

10. Will proposed action require any authorization(s) for the formation of sewer or water districts? Yes No

11. Will the proposed action create a demand for any community provided services (recreation, education, police, fire protection)?
 Yes No

a. If yes, is existing capacity sufficient to handle projected demand? Yes No

12. Will the proposed action result in the generation of traffic significantly above present levels? Yes No
 a. If yes, is the existing road network adequate to handle the additional traffic. Yes No

D. Informational Details
 Attach any additional information as may be needed to clarify your project. If there are or may be any adverse impacts associated with your proposal, please discuss such impacts and the measures which you propose to mitigate or avoid them.

E. Verification
 I certify that the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge.
 Applicant/Sponsor Name _____ Date 12-3-09
 Signature Robert J. Lunde
 Title Pres.

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

25. Approvals Required:

	Yes	No	Type	Submittal Date
City, Town, Village Board	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SEORA / expenditure	_____
City, Town, Village Planning Board	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
City, Town Zoning Board	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
City, County Health Department	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
Other Local Agencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
Other Regional Agencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
State Agencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
Federal Agencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____

C. Zoning and Planning Information

1. Does proposed action involve a planning or zoning decision? Yes No
 If Yes, indicate decision required:

Zoning amendment Zoning variance New/revision of master plan Subdivision
 Site plan Special use permit Resource management plan Other

2. What is the zoning classification(s) of the site?

Rural Residential

3. What is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the present zoning?

20 single-family homes

4. What is the proposed zoning of the site?

Rural Residential

5. What is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the proposed zoning?

20 single-family homes

6. Is the proposed action consistent with the recommended uses in adopted local land use plans? Yes No

7. What are the predominant land use(s) and zoning classifications within a 1/4 mile radius of proposed action?

To the east and west: Rural Residential - low density residential
 To the south: Agricultural Conservation - low density residential and agriculture
 To the north: Village of Hilton - medium to high density residential

8. Is the proposed action compatible with adjoining/surrounding land uses with a 1/4 mile? Yes No

9. If the proposed action is the subdivision of land, how many lots are proposed?
 a. What is the minimum lot size proposed? _____

PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE
 Responsibility of Lead Agency

General Information (Read Carefully)
 In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my responses and determinations been reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
 The Examples provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact response, thus requiring evaluation in Part 3.
 The impacts of each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples are illustrative and have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question. The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.
 In identifying impacts, consider long term, short term and cumulative effects.

Instructions (Read carefully)
 a. Answer each of the 20 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
 b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
 c. If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box (column 1 or 2) to indicate the potential size of the impact. If impact threshold equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
 d. Identifying that an impact will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily significant. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. Identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
 e. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
 f. If a potentially large impact checked in column 2 can be mitigated by change(s) in the project to a small to moderate impact, also check the Yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible. This must be explained in Part 3.

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
Impact on Land			
1. Will the Proposed Action result in a physical change to the project site? NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/>			
Examples that would apply to column 2 Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Construction on land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or involve more than one phase or stage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
Impact on Water			
3. Will Proposed Action affect any water body designated as protected? (Under Articles 15, 24, 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, ECL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES			
Examples that would apply to column 2 Developable area of site contains a protected water body.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other impacts:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>			
4. Will Proposed Action affect any non-protected existing or new body of water? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES			
Examples that would apply to column 2 A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other impacts:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>			

5. Will Proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or quantity?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed Action will require a discharge permit. Yes No

Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action. Yes No

Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity. Yes No

Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system. Yes No

Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater. Yes No

Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity. Yes No

Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day. Yes No

Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions. Yes No

Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gallons. Yes No

Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services. Yes No

Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

The Proposed Action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g., cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff). Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES

11. Will Proposed Action affect aesthetic resources? (If necessary, use the Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.20, Appendix B.)
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural. Yes No

Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users of aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource. Yes No

Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

12. Will Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, prehistoric or paleontological importance?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of Historic Places. Yes No

Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within the project site. Yes No

Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NYS Site Inventory. Yes No

6. Will Proposed Action alter drainage flow or patterns, or surface water runoff?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed Action would change flood water flows. Yes No

Proposed Action may cause substantial erosion. Yes No

Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drainage patterns. Yes No

Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON AIR

7. Will Proposed Action affect air quality?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any given hour. Yes No

Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. Yes No

Emission rate of total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. Yes No

Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use. Yes No

Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development within existing industrial areas. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS

8. Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near the site, or found on the site. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION

13. Will Proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity. Yes No

A major reduction of an open space important to the community. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS

14. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 8NYCRR 617.14(g)?
 NO YES

List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA.

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? Yes No

Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? Yes No

Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource? Yes No

Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource? Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat. Yes No

Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

9. Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species. Yes No

Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES

10. Will Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

The Proposed Action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.) Yes No

Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land. Yes No

The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land. Yes No

IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

15. Will there be an effect to existing transportation systems?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods. Yes No

Proposed Action will result in major traffic problems. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

IMPACT ON ENERGY

16. Will Proposed Action affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in the use of any form of energy in the municipality. Yes No

Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences or to serve a major commercial or industrial use. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

NOISE AND ODOR IMPACT

17. Will there be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of the Proposed Action?
 NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility. Yes No

Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day). Yes No

Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures. Yes No

Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen. Yes No

Other impacts: Yes No

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH			
18. Will Proposed Action affect public health and safety? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES			
Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e., oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e., toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other impacts:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD			
19. Will Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES			
Examples that would apply to column 2			
The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or goals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Proposed Action will cause a change in the density of land use.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Development will create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other impacts:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

20. Is there, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts?
 NO YES

If Any Action in Part 2 is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or if you Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Part 3 - EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

Responsibility of Lead Agency

Part 3 must be prepared if one or more impact(s) is considered to be potentially large, even if the impact(s) may be mitigated.

Instructions (If you need more space, attach additional sheets)

Discuss the following for each impact identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- Briefly describe the impact.
- Describe (if applicable) how the impact could be mitigated or reduced to a small to moderate impact by project change(s).
- Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is important.

To answer the question of importance, consider:

- ! The probability of the impact occurring
- ! The duration of the impact
- ! Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- ! Whether the impact can or will be controlled
- ! The regional consequence of the impact
- ! Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- ! Whether known objections to the project relate to this impact.

Schedule B

STS Trailer and Truck Equipment 6485 Transit Rd. Bowmansville NY PO Box 414 Depew NY 14043 Phone: 1-716-883-2250 Fax: 1-716-883-2257 Toll Free: 1-800-836-7400 E-mail: jtyrrell@ststrailer.com		Quote # 1102509JT Date: November 25, 2009 <input type="checkbox"/> Sales Order <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Estimate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Equipment Only Will Call <input type="checkbox"/> Equipment Only Delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Labor & Equipment Installed
Name: TOWN of PARMA Address: 1300 HILTON PARMA ROAD City, State: HILTON NEW YORK 14488	Chassis Avail: Factory Order Contact: Brian Speer Phone Number: 585-303-0223 FAX Number: 585-392-5973 P.O. Number:	

End User:

Chassis Year	Make	CA	Trans	Auto	
Quan	Part No	Description		Price Each	Total
		QUOTATION for the following to be picked up "Cash & Carry", not installed:			
1	SSV-14	Smith V-Bottom spreader 53" high standard model 304 Stainless steel hopper 9 cu. Yd. Capacity 2475 lbs. Empty Weight All factory standard features & equipment FOB STS, Rochester NY			\$ 9,999.00 ea
		100% to Specifications			
		1 Year Factory Warranty (ST)			
QUOTED AS ABOVE PLUS ANY APPLICABLE TAXES					
Quote valid for 14 days.					\$9,999
The Above Work To Be Done For The Total Sum Of.....					\$9,999
Sales Tax, Unless Exemption Certificate On File.....					% 8.00% exempt
Federal Excise Tax.....					% 12.00% na
Delivery.....					
Deposit Paid.....					Date: _____
Grand Total Due.....					NET INVOICE 9,999.00

Sales Consultant: JOHN TYRRELL 716-818-8137
 CUSTOMER NOTE: Any additional equipment or options added beyond those quoted above will result in additional charges. Written confirmation is required for ALL change orders.

YOUR SIGNATURE INDICATES YOUR APPROVAL OF THIS ORDER.

AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____

Quotation

THRUWAY SPRING
 1609 MT READ BLVD
 ROCHESTER, NY 14606
 Ph: 800 588-2270
 Ph: 585 254-6100
 Fax: 585 254-4022

Date: 11/16/09
 Notes:

To: **Town of Parma HWD**
 Smith Material Spreader

Date of Request: 11/16/09
 Quote Sent By: Chris
 Order Delivered By: _____
 Vehicle Year, Make, Model: _____
 Vehicle Number: _____
 Terms Offered: _____

Ph: _____

Item	Stock?	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Amount	
1 14' Stainless Steel V-Bottom Salter Insert			1	\$10,790.00	\$10,790.00	
Manufactured by Smith Metalworks of Newark						
Completely as per spec as outlined in bid invitation						
Twelve month material and workmanship warranty						
Price includes delivery to Town of Parma						
Please allow 2-3 weeks for delivery ARO						
No exceptions taken						
This bid is cash and carry (No installation, labor, misc parts)						
					Subtotal	\$10,790.00
					Freight	
					FET	
					Tax	
Tax Rate 8.00%					Total Estimate	\$10,790.00

Estimate authorized by _____
 Name: Chris Busceni, Sales
 Title: Sales
 Date: 11/16/09
 Signature: *Chris*